

# Convergence of quintic spline interpolants in terms of a local mesh ratio

A.Yu. Shadrin

In this paper we give an algorithm for finding the bounds for a ratio of two neighbouring mesh steps which provide the convergence of odd-degree spline interpolants and their derivatives. For the quintic splines numerical values are obtained which improve the estimates by S.Friedland, C.Micchelli.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we study the problems of convergence of spline interpolants  $s_n$  of degree  $2m - 1$  and deficiency 1 to functions from the classes  $C^l$  in terms of a local mesh ratio. The interpolating nodes, which are also the spline breakpoints are given by the meshes

$$\Delta_n = \{a = t_1^{(n)} < t_2^{(n)} < \dots < t_{N_n}^{(n)} = b\},$$

the natural boundary value condition on splines are considered:

$$s_n^{(\mu)}(f, t) = 0, \quad t = 0, 1; \quad \mu = \overline{m, 2m-2}.$$

The local mesh ratio of a sequence  $\Delta = \{\Delta_n\}_1^\infty$  is defined as

$$\rho_s(\Delta) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{|i-j| < s} \frac{h_i^{(n)}}{h_j^{(n)}},$$

where  $h_j^{(n)} = t_{j+1}^{(n)} - t_j^{(n)}$  is the local step of the  $n$ -th mesh.

We are concerned with the following

**Problem 1.** For  $m, s \in N$  and  $0 \leq l \leq m - 1$  given, define the exact upper bound  $\rho_{2m-1, l, s}^*$  for the values  $\rho_{2m-1, l, s}$ , such that for any function  $f \in C^l$  and arbitrary sequence  $\Delta$

$$\rho_s(\Delta) < \rho_{2m-1, l, s}^* \Rightarrow \|f^{(l)} - s_{n, 2m-1}^{(l)}\|_C \rightarrow 0 \quad (\bar{h}^{(n)} \rightarrow 0).$$

For  $m = 2$ ,  $l = 0$ , the exact solution is

$$\rho_{3,0,s}^* = \left( \frac{3 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^s \sim (2.6180)^s, \quad (1)$$

as it was obtained in [9, 2, 5]. Earlier (see [1, p.29]) it was proved that for  $m = 2$ ,  $l = 1$ ,

$$\rho_{3,1,1}^* = \infty.$$

The case  $m > 2$  was considered in [3, 8, 7] where the following bounds for the value  $\rho_{2m-1,l,1}^*$  were found

$$\bar{\rho}_{2m-1,l,1} \leq \rho_{2m-1,l,1}^* \leq \tilde{\rho}_{2m-1,l,1}. \quad (2)$$

Moreover, the sufficient convergence conditions (the lower bound) were obtained in [3] only for  $l = 0$ , and divergence examples (the upper bound) were constructed in [8, 7] for  $0 \leq l \leq m - 2$ . The numbers  $\bar{\rho}_{2m-1,l,1}$  and  $\tilde{\rho}_{2m-1,l,1}$  are defined as solutions of certain algebraic equations, their numerical values are computed in [7] for  $m \leq 9$ .

The purpose of this paper is to improve the lower bound in (2) (i.e., the sufficient convergence conditions) for the interpolating quintic splines. Our main result is

**Theorem 1.** Let  $f \in C^l$ ,  $l = 0, 1, 2$ . Then for the quintic spline interpolants  $s_{n,5}$  defined on  $\Delta = \{\Delta_n\}_1^\infty$  the implication

$$\rho_s(\Delta) < \hat{\rho}_{5,l,s}(M) \Rightarrow \|f^{(l)} - s_{n,5}^{(l)}\|_C \rightarrow 0 \quad (\bar{h}^{(n)} \rightarrow 0).$$

is valid.

The values  $\hat{\rho}_{5,l,1}$  are given in the table along with the corresponding values  $\bar{\rho}$  and  $\tilde{\rho}$  from inequality (2):

$l$	$\bar{\rho}_{5,l,1}$	$\hat{\rho}_{5,l,1}$	$\tilde{\rho}_{5,l,1}$
1	1.1193	1.2018	1.4164
2	—	1.3584	1.8535
3	—	2.5071	—

This theorem was announced in [6], in this paper the proof is given.

## 2. Preliminary results

This section contains the statements proved in [6].

Denote the set of null-splines as

$$\dot{S}_{2m-1}(\Delta_n) = \{\sigma \in S_{2m-1}(\Delta_n) : \sigma(t_i) = 0, t_i \in \Delta_n\}.$$

Further, for a proper number  $R = R(m)$  define the class  $U_R[0, 1]$  of functions  $u \in C^m[0, 1]$  with the properties

$$\begin{aligned} \text{a) } & u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = 1; \\ & u^{(\mu)}(0) = u^{(\mu)}(1) = 0, \quad \mu = \overline{1, m-1}; \\ \text{b) } & \|u^{(\mu)}\|_{C[0, 1]} \leq R, \quad \mu = \overline{1, m}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

For  $u \in U_R[0, 1]$ ,  $M \in N$  and  $i = \overline{0, N_n - M}$ , set

$$u_{i,M}(t) = u((t - t_i)/(t_{i+M} - t_i)). \quad (4)$$

**Lemma A.** For  $M \geq m - 1$  there is a number  $\beta_M = \beta_M(m)$ , such that for arbitrary  $\sigma \in \dot{S}_{2m-1}(\Delta_n)$ ,  $u \in U_R[0, 1]$  and  $i = \overline{0, N_n - M}$ ,

$$\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+M}} (\sigma \cdot u_{i,M})^{(m)}(t) \cdot \sigma^{(m)}(t) dt \leq \beta_M \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+M}} [\sigma^{(m)}(t)]^2 dt. \quad (5)$$

Set

$$\gamma_M = \gamma_M(m) = 1 + \frac{1}{\beta_M - 1}. \quad (6)$$

The following theorem is valid.

**Theorem A.** Let  $0 \leq l \leq m - 1$ ,  $f \in C^l[a, b]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f^{(l)} - s_{n,2m-1}^{(l)}\|_C \\ & \leq c(M, m) \sup_j \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{N_n-1} \left( \frac{\bar{h}_j}{h_i} \right)^{m-l-1/2} \gamma_M^{-\frac{1}{2M}|i-j|} \right\} \|f^{(l)}\|_C, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{h}_j = \max\{h_\nu : j \leq \nu \leq j + m - 2\}$ , and  $\gamma_M$  is defined in (5)-(6).

Set

$$\hat{\rho}_{2m-1,l,s}(M) = \left( \gamma_M^{\frac{1}{2M} \cdot \frac{1}{m-l-1/2}} \right)^s. \quad (7)$$

From Theorem A there follows

**Theorem B.** Let  $0 \leq l \leq m-1$ ,  $f \in C^l$ . Then for any  $M \geq m-1$  and any sequence  $\Delta = \{\Delta_n\}_1^\infty$ ,

$$\rho_s(\Delta) < \hat{\rho}_{2m-1,l,s}(M) \Rightarrow \|f^{(l)} - s_{n,2m-1}^{(l)}\|_C = o(1).$$

*Remark.* From (7) it is seen that

$$\hat{\rho}_{2m-1,0,s}(M) \leq \hat{\rho}_{2m-1,1,s}(M) \leq \dots \leq \hat{\rho}_{2m-1,m-1,s}(M),$$

i.e., the higher is the smoothness  $l$  of a function  $f \in C^l$ , the weaker are the constraints providing the convergence of spline interpolants.

Thus, Problem 1 is reduced to finding sharp estimates for the values  $\gamma_M$  defined in (6), i.e. to computing the exact constant  $\beta_M$  in inequality (5) of Lemma 1.

The first way to such a computing is to optimize the value  $\beta_M$  with respect to the functions  $u \in U_R[0,1]$ .

Another way which will be considered in the next section consists in reducing (5) to the equivalent relation between two quadratic forms

$$(Cx_i, x_i) \leq \beta_M (Bx_i, x_i), \quad (8)$$

one of which (corresponding to the right-hand side (5)) is positive definite. In such a case the smallest value  $\beta_M$  providing (8) (and, therefore, (5)) is equal to the largest eigenvalue of the matrix  $B^{-1}C$ . The required value  $\gamma_M$  can be also equated to an eigenvalue of a special matrix.

### 3. Scheme of $\gamma_M$ computation

Integrate the both sides of inequality (5) by parts. Using definitions (3)-(4) of the function  $u_{i,M}$  and the fact that  $\sigma$  is a null-spline of degree  $2m-1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{\nu+1} \sigma^{(m-\nu)}(\cdot) \sigma^{(m-1+\nu)}(\cdot) \Big|_{t_i+m} \\ & \leq \beta_M \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{\nu+1} \sigma^{(m-\nu)}(\cdot) \sigma^{(m-1+\nu)}(\cdot) \Big|_{t_i}^{t_i+m}. \end{aligned}$$

Rewrite this relation in a vector form, for this purpose introduce the vectors  $x_i = (x_i^1, \dots, x_i^{2m-2})$  with the components

$$x_i^\mu = \frac{1}{\mu!} \sigma^{(\mu)}(t_i), \quad i = \overline{0, N_n}, \quad \mu = \overline{1, 2m-2}.$$

It is known (see [3]) that these vectors are connected by the linear transformation

$$x_{i+1} = A_i x_i$$

with the matrices  $A_i$  of the form

$$A_i = -D(h_i^{-1}) A D(h_i), \quad (9)$$

where, in turn,

$$D(h) = \text{diag}(h, h^2, \dots, h^{2m-2}), \quad (10)$$

$$A = \{C_{2m-1}^\mu - C_\nu^\mu\}_{\mu, \nu=1}^{2m-2}, \quad C_p^q = \frac{p!}{q!(p-q)!}. \quad (11)$$

If we define a symmetric matrix  $T$  by the relation

$$(Tx, x) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{\nu+1} (m-\nu)! (m-1+\nu)! x^{m-\nu} x^{m-1+\nu}, \quad (12)$$

and set

$$A_{i,M} = A_{i+M-1} \times A_{i+M-2} \times \dots \times A_i,$$

then the vector form of (5) will look like

$$(TA_{i,M} x_i, A_{i,M} x_i) \leq \beta_M [(TA_{i,M} x_i, A_{i,M} x_i) - (Tx_i, x_i)],$$

or

$$(A_{i,M}^* TA_{i,M} x_i, x_i) \leq \beta_M ([A_{i,M}^* TA_{i,M} - T] x_i, x_i).$$

Finally, substituting  $x_i = A_{i,K}^{-1} x_{i+K}$ ,  $K = \overline{0, M}$ , to the latter formula we obtain the following expression, which is equivalent to (5):

$$\begin{aligned} & (A_{i+K,M-K}^* TA_{i+K,M-K} x_{i+K}, x_{i+K}) \\ & \leq \beta_M \left( [A_{i+K,M-K}^* TA_{i+K,M-K} - (A_{i,K}^{-1})^* TA_{i,K}^{-1}] x_{i+K}, x_{i+K} \right), \quad (13) \end{aligned}$$

where it is implied  $A_{i,0} = E$ ,  $A_{i,1} = A_i$ ,  $K = \overline{0, M}$ .

The quadratic form standing in the right-hand side of (13) corresponds to the right-hand side of (5), hence, the symmetric matrix

$$B = A_{i+K,M-K}^* TA_{i+K,M-K} - (A_{i,K}^{-1})^* TA_{i,K}^{-1}$$

is a positive definite one. Thus, for any symmetric matrix  $C$  the smallest value  $\beta_M$  provided the inequality

$$(Cx, x) \leq \beta_M (Bx, x)$$

is equal [4, p.290] to the largest eigenvalue of the matrix  $B^{-1}C$ . The value (see (6))

$$\beta_M^{-1} - 1 = \gamma_M^{-1}$$

coincides in this case with the smallest among those roots of the characteristic equation

$$\|(C^{-1}B - E) - \lambda E\| = 0$$

for the matrix  $C^{-1}B - E$  which are greater than  $-1$ .

Applied to (13) this means that for computing  $\gamma_M$  we need to find the roots of equation

$$\| -[A_{i+K, M-K}^* T A_{i+K, M-K}]^{-1} [A_{i, K}^* T A_{i, K}] - \lambda E \| = 0$$

or of the equivalent one

$$\| [A_{i, K}^* T A_{i, K}] + \lambda [A_{i+K, M-K}^* T A_{i+K, M-K}] \| = 0, \quad (14)$$

and choosing among them the root  $\lambda_M$ , closest to  $-1$  from the right, equalize

$$\gamma_M = -\lambda_M^{-1}. \quad (15)$$

## 4. Results for quintic splines

Here we will show how the above given scheme works for quintic splines, but beforehand for more clarity we consider the case of cubic spline interpolation.

### 4.1. Cubic splines

Set in (9)-(12)  $m = 2$ ,  $M = 1$ , i.e., we are concerned with one interval  $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ , which can be considered as unit one. We have

$$A_i = A_{i,1} = - \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A_{i,1}^* T A_{i,1} = - \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 7/2 \\ 7/2 & 6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Equation (14) where we consider  $K = 0$ ,  $A_{i,0} = E$ , takes the form

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} 2\lambda & \frac{7}{2}\lambda + \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{7}{2}\lambda + \frac{1}{2} & 6\lambda \end{pmatrix} \right\| = 12\lambda^2 - \frac{1}{4}(7\lambda + 1)^2 = 0,$$

whence

$$\lambda_{1,2} = -(7 \pm 4\sqrt{3}) = -(2 \pm \sqrt{3})^2,$$

$$\lambda_M(m) = \lambda_1(2) = -(2 - \sqrt{3})^2,$$

and basing on (15)

$$\gamma_M(m) = \gamma_1(2) = (2 + \sqrt{3})^2.$$

Therefore, by virtue of (7) we can take in Theorem B the value

$$\hat{\rho}_{3,0,s}(1) = (2 + \sqrt{3})^{\frac{2}{3}s} \sim (2.4060)^s,$$

which is somewhat worse than (1).

#### 4.2. Quintic splines

Set in (9)-(12)  $m = 3$ ,  $M = 2$ , i.e., consider two neighbouring intervals  $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ ,  $[t_{i+1}, t_{i+2}]$  with lengths  $h_i$ ,  $h_{i+1}$ . In this case

$$A_{i+1,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3h_{i+1} & 2h_{i+1}^2 & h_{i+1}^3 \\ 10h_{i+1}^{-1} & 9 & 7h_{i+1} & 4h_{i+1}^2 \\ 10h_{i+1}^{-2} & 10h_{i+1}^{-1} & 9 & 6h_{i+1} \\ 5h_{i+1}^{-3} & 5h_{i+1}^{-2} & 5h_{i+1}^{-1} & 4 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_{i,1}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -3h_i & 2h_i^2 & -h_i^3 \\ -10h_i^{-1} & 9 & -7h_i & 4h_i^2 \\ 10h_i^{-2} & -10h_i^{-1} & 9 & -6h_i \\ -5h_i^{-3} & 5h_i^{-2} & -5h_i^{-1} & 4 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$T = 12 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & -1 \\ & 1/2 & \\ & 1/2 & \\ -1 & & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The further scheme goes like that. Let

$$\frac{h_{i+1}}{h_i} = \alpha > 0 \tag{16}$$

Solving equation (14) we obtain the values  $\beta_M(\alpha)$  and  $\gamma_M(\alpha)$ , such that the inequality (5) is valid with the intervals  $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ ,  $[t_{i+1}, t_{i+2}]$ , satisfying (16). Now, if we take  $\beta_M = \max_{\alpha} \beta_M(\alpha)$ , then (5) will be valid for two intervals with arbitrary length ratio. By (6), the value  $\beta_M = \max_{\alpha} \beta_M(\alpha)$  corresponds to the value

$$\gamma_M = \min_{\alpha} \gamma_M(\alpha),$$

which we start now to compute.

Substituting in (14) with  $K = 1$ ,  $M = 2$  the calculated matrices  $A_{i+1,1}$ ,  $A_{i,1}^{-1}$ ,  $T$  and omitting the factor 12 from the latter one, we find

$$\begin{vmatrix} 60(\lambda - \alpha^3)h_{i+1}^{-3} & 60(\lambda + \alpha^2)h_{i+1}^{-2} & 50(\lambda - \alpha)h_{i+1}^{-1} & 29(\lambda + 1) \\ 60(\lambda + \alpha^2)h_{i+1}^{-2} & 60(\lambda - \alpha)h_{i+1}^{-1} & \frac{101}{2}(\lambda + 1) & 30(\lambda - \alpha^{-1})h_{i+1} \\ 50(\lambda - \alpha)h_{i+1}^{-1} & \frac{101}{2}(\lambda + 1) & 43(\lambda - \alpha^{-1})h_{i+1} & 26(\lambda - \alpha^{-2})h_{i+1}^2 \\ 29(\lambda + 1) & 30(\lambda - \alpha^{-1})h_{i+1} & 26(\lambda - \alpha^{-2})h_{i+1}^2 & 16(\lambda - \alpha^{-3})h_{i+1}^3 \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{4}\lambda^4 + a(\alpha)\lambda^3 + [b(\alpha) + 1/2]\lambda^2 + a(\alpha)\lambda + \frac{1}{4} = 0, \quad (17)$$

where the functions  $a(\alpha)$ ,  $b(\alpha)$  have the following form:

$$a(\alpha) = 120 \{ 2(\alpha^3 + \alpha^{-3}) + 13(\alpha^2 + \alpha^{-2}) + 34(\alpha + \alpha^{-1}) + 46\frac{1}{120} \},$$

$$b(\alpha) = 240 \{ 7(\alpha^3 + \alpha^{-3}) + 43(\alpha^2 + \alpha^{-2}) + 109(\alpha + \alpha^{-1}) + 146\frac{1}{240} \}.$$

The change  $y = \lambda + \lambda^{-1}$  reduces (17) into the quadratic equation

$$\frac{1}{4}y^2 + a(\alpha)y + b(\alpha) = 0. \quad (18)$$

One can be convinced that the value  $|y_{\min}(\alpha)|$  of the smallest absolute root of the equation (18) takes the least (with respect to  $\alpha$ ) value for  $\alpha = 1$ . For such  $\alpha$  equation (18) takes the form:

$$\frac{1}{4}y^2 + 17281y + 111361 = 0,$$

whence

$$y_{\min}(1) = -2(17281 - 2080\sqrt{69}) = -2\frac{49 + \sqrt{81 - 1/48}}{9 + \sqrt{81 - 1/48}}.$$

Since,

$$y_{\min}(1) = \lambda_M(m) + \lambda_M^{-1}(m), \quad \gamma_M(m) = -\lambda_M^{-1}(m),$$



finally we obtain

$$\gamma_2(3) = \frac{\sqrt{x} + 1}{\sqrt{x} - 1}, \quad x = \frac{29 + \sqrt{81 - 1/48}}{20},$$

and numerical value is the following:

$$\gamma_2(3) \sim 6.2856.$$

The values  $\tilde{\rho}_{5,l,1}$  in Theorem 1 are derived from Theorem B and (7). Now the comparison with known estimates is to our favour (see Table 1).

The numerical experiments show that the values  $\hat{\rho}_{2m-1,l,1}(M)$  increase monotonically with respect to  $M$ . For example, for  $\hat{\rho}_{5,0,1}(M)$  we have such a numerical computation:

$M$	3	6	9	12
$\hat{\rho}_{5,0,1}(M)$	1.2653	1.3341	1.3541	1.3656

Since

$$\sup_M \hat{\rho}_{2m-1,l,s}(M) \leq \rho_{2m-1,l,s}^*,$$

these experiments make evidence to the conjecture [3] that

$$\rho_{2m-1,l,s}^* = (\tilde{\rho}_{2m-1,l,1})^s.$$

## References

- [1] J.Ahlberg, E.Nilson, J.Walsh, The theory of splines and their applications, Academic Press, New York and London, 1967.
- [2] C. de Boor, On cubic spline functions that vanish at all knots, Adv. Math. 20, pp. 1-17, 1976.
- [3] S.Friedland, C.Micchelli, Bounds on the solution of difference equations and the spline interpolation at knots, Linear Algebra and its Appl., 20, pp. 219-251, 1978.
- [4] F.R.Gantmacher, Matrix Theory, Moscow: Nauka, 1967 (in Russian).
- [5] Rong-Quang Jia, On a conjecture of C.A.Micchelli concerning cubic spline interpolation at a biinfinite knot sequence, J. Approx. Th. 38, pp. 284-292, 1983.
- [6] A.Yu.Shadrin, On function approximation by spline intrpolants defined on non-uniform meshes, Matem. sbornik, 181, 1990 (in Russian), 1236-1255; Engl. transl.: Math. USSR Sbornik, 70, 1991.
- [7] Yu.S.Volkov, On the convergence of interpolating splines in terms of a local mesh ratio, Approksimatsiya splainami, Novosibirsk, vol.128: Vychislitelnye sistemy, pp.32-38, 1988 (in Russian).
- [8] Yu.S.Volkov, Divergence of the odd degree spline interpolants, Approksimatsiya splainami, Novosibirsk, vol.106, Vychislitelnye sistemy, 41-56, 1984, (in Russian).
- [9] N.L.Zmatrakov, Convergence of interpolating process for parabolic and cubic splines, Trudy Steklov Math. Inst., 138, pp. 71-93, 1975 (in Russian).